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THE COURT: Be seated, everyone. All
right. At this time, ladies and gentlemen, Mr. Gerry Oginski, who is the counsel for Mr. and Mrs. Flannery, will give a closing argument for Plaintiffs.

MR. OGINSKI: Thank you, your Honor.
This is a case about improperly performing foot surgery. It is a case about a doctor removing too much bone. It is a case about the first metatarsal being placed in too far down a position, it is a case involving a discussion that should have been had with the podiatrist and the patient about what will happen in the event that this procedure does not work to solve the problem that she was having underneath the bottom of her foot and addressing it during the first surgery.

Let us come together and reason, and let me explain to you why we are more likely right than wrong when I tell you that there was a departure from good and accepted care here. You will see during the course of my discussion that you will have not one, not two, but three separate concepts and opportunities to come to the conclusion that Dr. Marzano departed from
good and accepted podiatric care. Any one of which will be sufficient for you to answer and give us a verdict in our favor that there was a departure and that that departure was a substantial factor in causing Annmarie injury. I want to talk to you first about something we saw yesterday. Dr. Edwin Wolf yesterday was here on the stand as Defendant's expert. And he was shown these photographs of Annmarie. The photographs were taken on March 22, 2006 in my office. And what was most remarkable to me about showing Dr. Wolf these photographs is that he made a comment that this is not showing that her toe sticks up 90 degrees.

Now, why is that even significant and why do I even bring that up?

It is significant because at the beginning of my questioning to him, I asked him the question and I said, Doctor, you are coming in here, giving objective testimony, that's your goal, and you would agree that if the records that you are reviewing are inaccurate, that your testimony may be inaccurate? Now, why is that important? It is important because we know that

Dr. Marzano's records are awful. We know that they are careless. We know that they are sloppy.

Well, what does that show? It shows a
lack of attention to detail.
Now, when I asked Dr. Wolf about these photographs, and he made that comment, that Annmarie Flannery's toe is not sticking up 90 degrees, do you know where he got that information from, from Dr. Marzano's own records about the range of motion of her toe. And it was Dr. Marzano --

MR. MC ANDREW: Objection, your Honor.
THE COURT: Well, again, this is an evidentiary fact. Again, this is up to you to decide.

MR. OGINSKI: It was Dr. Marzano's testimony, and even he was confused when questioned by his own counsel as to whether that 90-degree issue was because it happened when he pushed it up himself or whether Annmarie was able to get it up. So, nobody is saying that her toe is sticking up 90 degrees. He only got that information from the incorrect reading of Dr. Marzano's own record that he himself was
confused about as to which is active and which is passive motion.

So, why do I bring this up? I bring this up because it is important for you to know, and her Honor is going to tell you tomorrow morning, something about a concept which says that if you find that a witness has testified falsely about one statement, you have the right to disregard that entire statement. You also have the right to disregard that witness' entire testimony if you find that a witness has been less than truthful in this case.

Now, let me ask you another question. During my questioning of their expert, when $I$ asked Dr. Wolf, and I said to him: Doctor, the materials that you were sent by the defense attorney, that piece of paper, that cover letter, and he told us, yes, I remember reading it, it's sitting on my desk. Okay. Well, then, Doctor, you wouldn't mind if you could have somebody in your office fax it over here to the Court so $I$ could take a look at it? All of a sudden, no, no, it's not on my desk, it's in the car in the trunk in my office. We can't see it. Again, remember this concept. If you find
that a witness has testified falsely about one thing, you have every right to disregard that witness' entire testimony regardless of their credentials.

Let's take a look at the very first concept that we need to talk about. And that is whether Dr. Marzano removed too much bone from Annmarie Flannery's foot during the course of the surgery on March 25 th. How do we know that too much bone was removed? Well, take a look at Dr. Joseph when she came in here. And Dr. Joseph, a board certified podiatrist, with 22 years' experience, tells us that 1 centimeter of bone was removed. And she said that that is one-sixth of the bone that you have. And as a result of that, you have a marked shortening and, in fact, Dr. Marzano, in his own words, in his own record, said that there was a significant shortening.

Now, this is not just Dr. Joseph saying this, this is Dr. Marzano, using his own words, describing the shortening that he achieved on Annmarie's surgery.

I did not use the word significant, it's in his record. But Dr. Joseph was the only one
that came in and told us that it was 1 centimeter that he removed.

Now, when I asked Dr. Wolf to quantify how he knows that there was sufficient bone taken out? He could not give me an answer as to how to quantify it. When he says he knows what is inappropriate bone, again, he could not quantify and tell us.

Instead, what we got was, we got some fancy explanation about why Dr. Joseph could not possibly come to a reasonable explanation and interpretation because of the way that the x-rays are positioned and it is looking this way and then it is looking that way. And there is no possible way. And if you look at it from this, you just see a dot. That's his explanation about why Dr. Joseph, in her interpretation, is wrong.

But ask yourself this question. Do you think that a board certified podiatrist who is in practice for 22 years would not know how to measure the amount of bone that is removed during any type of surgery, especially a Lapidus surgery?

You did not see Dr. Wolf try and explain
how much bone was removed. Because he did not want to, right? When I asked him about pathology, whether there is some way to show how much bone was removed, he came up with lots of reasons and excuses as to why that specimen is simply not sufficient for him to judge and determine how much bone was removed. Instead, we get what is called conclusory answers, meaning just giving an answer without a real reasonable explanation.

Now, I will tell you that when I was
listening to Dr. Wolf initially, some of his explanations sounded pretty reasonable. But when I got up to question him and I asked him, Doctor, would you agree that it is good podiatric practice to keep accurate records, do you remember what he said? He said, Well, I would not necessarily agree, it all depends on how you define "accurate."

What?
Doctor, would you agree that it is good podiatric practice to keep complete records? Well, not necessarily, Mr. Oginski, it all depends on how you define "complete."

Same question with detail. Ask yourself,
is that the type of doctor that you can place your trust and reliance in, in order to help you answer the question as to whether or not there was a departure from good and accepted care. You decide. I will tell you, in my opinion, and you know that your opinion is what guides you, but based upon Dr. Wolf's testimony in responses to my questions, these are simple questions, there is no possible way that you can give credence to what he says, no matter his credentials.

So, remember at the beginning during jury selection I said to you not only would we show you that we're more likely right than wrong that there were departures, Dr. Joseph herself told us that this was a departure from good and accepted care. That too much bone was taken out. And not only that, but she told us that beyond a doubt, without a doubt, it was a departure from good and accepted care with the amount of bone that was removed.

I found something else that Dr. Wolf said to be quite remarkable.

When he was drawing up here on the easel with the stuff that he had with the markers, he
said something that caught my attention. He said, you know, if too much bone is taken out, it means that the first metatarsal will not bear enough weight and that will shift the forces to the second and third metatarsals. I thought to myself, and I said, wait a second, isn't that exactly what happened here? That's exactly what we are claiming, that too much bone was taken out, and as a direct result of that, she now had a cascade, or what I called a ripple effect, and now the forces of her foot shifted to the second and third metatarsals. That's exactly what $I$ was saying.

And Dr. Joseph indicated that that would be a departure from good and accepted practice to do that. Even Dr. Wolf agreed when I got him to answer the question whether that would be a departure if you take out too much bone, whether that's a departure from good care? He said yes. So, remember, you have to decide whether we are more likely right than wrong that there was a departure from good care here in removing too much bone. And according to the testimony that we have here, there is no doubt whatsoever that not only are we more likely right than
wrong, but we have shown you far more than that to establish that in and of itself.

And you then have to answer the question, well, as a result of taking out too much bone, was that departure a substantial factor in causing Annmarie injury? And Dr. Joseph has told us that the answer is yes. Yes, that we are more likely right that it caused and was a substantial factor. And, again, without a doubt, that it caused injury.

And I'm going to go into injuries a little more in detail. But just to give you an understanding, the injuries is that over the next couple months after her surgery she now had pressure and pain and discomfort under the second and third metatarsals when she walked. So that, ultimately, by December, when she last saw Dr. Marzano, she now finally is told, hey, you need a second surgery, a corrective surgery to shorten those second and third metatarsals. And then again, obviously, the injury involving the corrective surgery and the problems she had afterwards.

So I will go into that a little bit later. Which brings me to the second concept that we
talk about in order to also show you that there was departures from good care. The second concept is the improper positioning of the first metatarsal. Now, this is a very interesting concept that we heard a lot of things about during this trial. Dr. Marzano said it is in the right position. Dr. Wolf said it is in the right position. We are going to turn to a doctor who has absolutely no interest in the outcome of this case. We are going to look to see what a board certified orthopedist who has five years of orthopedic surgery training and a year of fellowship training in foot and ankle surgery had to say. Somebody who has no interest in the outcome of this lawsuit. Not hired by me, not hired by my adversary. To come and evaluate and give testimony about what he thinks.

Instead, he tells you what he sees and what he is trying to do to help Annmarie in January of 2006. This is significant. Because here you have no one advocating for anybody else. There is no lawsuit at that time. He is trying to help her. And here is what

Dr. Roberts says. And this is important to
remember.
As far as the positioning of the metatarsal. At page 21 of his transcript, he says: The metatarsal was too far down. It healed in a position further down than you would want it to be. This is not my expert, Dr. Joseph, saying this. This is not their expert from Central Park West saying this. This is a board certified orthopedist who is a specialist in this type of surgery who says this.

At page 27 of his transcript he says: Now the union of the first ray healed, but in the wrong position.

He then says at page 74: Our goal is not to plantarflex the first metatarsal. So what do we learn from this? What information can you get from Dr. Roberts' observations? Well, when you put it together with Dr. Joseph who is telling you that the first metatarsal is in a very downward position, almost 40 degrees, she tells us that that position is clearly a departure from good and accepted care. And by doing so, we are more likely right than wrong that there was a departure here in placing it in
too far down.
Again, I ask her: Do you have any doubt whatsoever that there was a departure here?

Her answer was: There is no doubt whatsoever.

Now, I have to comment on something that was just brought up to you by my adversary. My adversary said that there is no one and nothing that says that the metatarsal, the second and third metatarsals, were long.

Dr. Marzano came in and said the metatarsals, the second and third, were not long. Their expert, Dr. Wolf, came in and said that the second and third metatarsals were not long.

Well, let's get an unbiased view of a board certified orthopedic surgeon and see what he has to say. And this is what he says in his January $23^{\text {rd, }} 2006$ exam. Again, there is no lawsuit contemplated at this time. Nothing else is going on except the patient is going to get treated by a doctor for help. He is trying to help her and this is what he observes.

She has got a long second and third metatarsal.

How do you like that? It didn't just grow overnight.

MR. MC ANDREW: I object. That's postoperative.

MR. OGINSKI: It is in the evidence.
THE COURT: Well, it is an evidentiary exhibit, I believe.

MR. OGINSKI: Yes.
THE COURT: And I believe it speaks for itself.

MR. OGINSKI: He also writes: She also has pain in the second and third metatarsal region, which is related to that being too long and the first ray is relatively shortened.

This is somebody who is unbiased, who doesn't have a stake in the outcome of this case, who is telling you that she has long second and third metatarsals.

Do you think that a board certified orthopedic surgeon, a specialist in foot and ankle surgery, would not know the difference between a long second and third metatarsal?

In his transcript, Page 13, he says: So the other thing I noticed is that she had what looked like a long second and third metatarsal.
"Question: Are you talking about the second and third toes now?
"Answer" -- page 14.
"Answer: Well, I want to make the distinction. Toes are what you see and flex. Your metatarsals, you can't move. That's actually what you walk on. So, yes, you can say second and third toe, but it's actually, it's where the toe meets the foot. That's what I was talking about as being long. Not that she had long toes, but the metatarsal bones themselves were long."

So where do they come off saying the bones are not long? Think about that when you decide whether or not there is a departure from good and accepted podiatric care here. When you shorten a relatively short first metatarsal without addressing the second and third metatarsals, it now brings me to the third concept. And by the way, I have to go back for a second.

When you have an improperly positioned metatarsal, what happens? You now take weight off that first metatarsal and now, again, it is going to shift the forces to the second and
third metatarsal.
Was that departure a substantial factor in
causing Annmarie injury? The answer is yes.
Because by putting that bone too far down, you now are going to have the pressure and the pain and the pounding on that second and third metatarsal. That's the whole point of this claim. That's why we are here. And according to Dr. Joseph, doing that was a clear departure from good and accepted practice. Not only are we more likely right than wrong that that occurred, but without a doubt, according to her, that was a departure from good care that caused her injury and the need for further surgery and complications that arose after that.

That leads me to the third part of what was done in this case and why we believe that there was a departure as well.

Now, remember any one of these elements that I am talking about will lead you to the same conclusion because the first question you have to answer is whether Dr. Marzano departed from good and accepted care.

If you find that he did, you will then go on and answer the next question. Did that
departure, was that a substantial factor in causing and bringing about her injuries? And the answer is yes. And in just a moment, I'm going to go to the third and fourth questions.

So one of the other elements that we have been discussing during this case is, we have been suggesting, we have been telling you, if you know at the outset that there is a good chance that this surgical procedure is not going to work to address the second metatarsal, Dr. Wolf agreed that you have an obligation, even Dr. Marzano agreed that you have an obligation to talk to the patient and tell her, Annmarie, look, I know that this may not work. It has not happened to me, but it may not work. You have an obligation to tell the patient, Here is another solution. We can try and reduce the second and third metatarsals during that same first surgery in an attempt to avoid this problem. Look, I already know that you had to cancel surgery in the first place because you did not have child care, it was going to be disruptive. I know you are a stay-at-home mom. And I know you want to get this all done at once. You don't want to have to come back here
months later, have to go through another surgery to try and shorten the second and third metatarsals. Because now your life will be disrupted again.

So we have been claiming throughout this case, hey, plan properly, plan effectively and make sure that you tell the patient about that option.

Now, there has been a lot of discussion that Dr. Marzano claims that I told the patient about it. Annmarie says, no, you didn't. And again, there was a lot of discussion about this consent form.

Well, we all know and we have seen what Dr. Marzano's records are really like. Austin bunionectomy. Austin bunionectomy, left foot, right foot. Weightbearing, not weightbearing. Walking, not walking.

What do you make of these records? And what do you make of Dr. Marzano's attention to detail as a board certified podiatrist. That will play a part in determining whether or not what he is telling us is credible and believable.

Because, again, when Dr. Marzano performed
this procedure, before doing it, he had an obligation to tell Annmarie, This is what can happen. I'm going to offer you this option. And if he had done that, great. That part of the claim would not be here. But, guess what? He did not. And do you know why he did not? Because the fact that he never had that experience and did not have enough of these surgical procedures under his belt to know that this could happen. And why do we know that this could happen? Because we have heard it repeatedly that Dr. Marzano has said, Look, I knew that she had a problem under the second metatarsal.

Dr. Wolf said, sure, we knew this.
Dr. Joseph told us that. Well, if you know this, and you know that there is a chance that this Lapidus bunionectomy is not going to solve the problem, then tell the patient, Look, I don't think this is going to solve your problem under the second metatarsal. Here is a solution that I could pose. We will fix the bunion in the first surgery. And guess what? We are going to shorten the second and third bones too at the same time to take the pressure off. We
are going to prevent this from happening. Is that okay with you? If she says, yes, great, do it all at once, your done. But that's not what happened.

So here we are in Court five years and some months after this occurred, and we are here asking you and showing you that we are more likely right than wrong that there were multiple departures from good care. But you only have to decide one question. Out of all of those different concepts and elements, did Dr. Marzano depart from good and accepted care? The answer undoubtedly has to be yes. And did that departure -- was that departure a substantial factor in causing Annmarie's injuries? The answer is yes.

Now, once you get to that point, you will now begin to ask the question of how much you are going to award Annmarie for her injuries. And I have to tell you something. I have to tell you that in order to evaluate her injuries, you need to -- I just wanted to remind everybody about some of the things that she had. In the last visit with Dr. Marzano in December 15, 2005, she had complained of right foot pain.

The second and third metatarsals for the first -- I'm sorry, she had right foot pain under the second and third metatarsals. And it was at that time that Dr. Marzano recommended this corrective surgery.

Now, when Annmarie went about a little more than a month later to Dr. Matthew Roberts at the Hospital for Special Surgery, Dr. Roberts wrote, "Patient complains of right foot pain on the bottom of the right foot. Aching and cramping worse with activity."

In March, March 8th of 2006, patient still complains of pain in the bottom of her foot relating to the second and third metatarsals. At the end of April of '06, we know that she had the corrective surgery with Dr. Roberts.

December of 2006, now months later recuperating, she is walking, putting pressure on her foot. She comes back to him, what complaints does she have? She is complaining of her big toe being stiff, main complaint was pain on the bottom of the foot. This is all related to the original surgery and what occurred. She complained of being unable to stand or walk for prolonged periods. And at this point,
conservative treatment had been exhausted. She used orthotics, she had done what Dr. Roberts said. And that was finished. And here at this time he recommended additional surgery. That surgery was two different types. You can either shorten the second and third metatarsals more or you can do something that he called destructive surgery, which literally destroys part of the bone, fuses them together and tries to help it at that point.

Annmarie said, Do you know, what I'm going to step back. I'm going to try my best to live with what $I$ have because nobody is going to give me that guarantee that it is going to improve my condition. Reasonable? Fair. Why put yourself through all of that knowing at the outset that it may not work. Okay.

So, almost a year later, November 12th, she goes back to Dr. Roberts and, again, he notes she has persistent pain. Same pain as before. Primarily on the bottom of her foot. She still has callousing.

So now she has a decision to make. What do I do? Do I go ahead and have surgery? Do I -- as my adversary said on his opening, he
said, does she try and grin and bear it? Does she try and live with it? Well, as we know, Annmarie has tried to live with it throughout the time that we are talking about. From the time of the second surgery up until today. And she has told us that she still has not made a decision as to whether or not to have the surgery. That may come in the future if it gets worse and if she can't live with it. So these are all injuries that relate to the original wrongdoing and the departures.

Now, remember, if she decides to have surgery, that means a disruption of her life again, being a stay-at-home mom, her husband, Dave, is going to have to come and take off work and help out at home again. She is now going to have to be off her feet for about three months, and again, she is faced with that uncertainty as to whether or not it is going to help. And that's not an easy thing for her to consider. The other issue is that if she does not have surgery and fix this problem, she is going to have to live with this condition for the rest of her life. We know, and I never like asking a woman on the witness stand their age, but she is

43 years old. And according to the statistical life expectancy, Annmarie Flannery is expected to live for another 32.6 years. Okay. According to the statistics. And nobody has a crystal ball. But you have to consider when you decide how much to award her, for the future, I'm going to get to that in a moment, you will have to decide over how many years the award is going to be for. Let me talk for a moment about the surveillance video. You must have been as bored as I was watching this stuff, like watching paint dry. But it was instructive and informative for more than one reason. They had a right to go ahead and try to catch my client doing something that she said she could not do. The reality was, there was nothing on the video that she said she couldn't do. But here's why this surveillance video, the surreptitious secret video, the guy following her on a couple different days is so interesting. It is interesting because of not what is on the video, but what they don't show. Do you remember I asked Annmarie, I said, Tell us what is not seen on there. What do we hear from your husband?

What does he tell us? What happens to you at night? We heard her, she says, Look, if I am on my feet all day, long day if $I$ have been at work, I have pain. It's difficulty for me to put my foot down an bare floor. I have to walk on the side of my foot. Sometimes I actually limp at night because of how much it hurts.

Now, we don't have a camera and they did not bring a camera, thankfully, into her home to see this. And most likely, you can't see somebody's pain. But what did her husband, Dave, tell us? He says she likes to keep this inside of her. He says, she does not like to have it become -- she does not like to come home cranky and have that affect the kids and their relationship. But what else does this mean? It means that she cannot go on long trips. Well, we know the video showed that she can go from her front door to the curb and take out and recycle. That she can take the kids to school. She can take the kids to her dad in Little Neck and she can go to the drugstore and cross the street to McDonald's. We know that. She did not say she could not do that.

I asked her if there is any limping that
we see in the video. Her answer is no. But who said that she would limp? Her husband. Sometimes she told us she uses a cane. Now again, she is not in here with a cane or crutch or anything like that. Why? Because this is a quality of life issue. This is something that is not so simple to visualize. It is not like somebody had a leg cut off. It is not like somebody's eye was taken out. But this is a quality of life issue. And this is something that affects her every single day because every time she walks, she has pain and pressure and discomfort on the bottom of her foot. Now, one thing that $I$ have to tell you about that I have learned from cases involving foot surgery and women. Guys, you may not understand this, but we have a limited shoe wardrobe. Our extent of our shoes consists primarily of a pair of shoes to wear to work, sneakers and maybe work boots. That's pretty much the extent of a guy's wardrobe for shoes. For a woman, it is an entirely different planet. And if you don't believe me, the next time you go out on a date, the next time you take your spouse or significant other out to a restaurant
or to a bar or club, pay attention to what kind of shoes she is wearing. Because I guarantee you, the woman that you are with likes to wear stylish shoes. Likes to wear high heels. Because to a woman, nice shoes are an accessory. They are a big part of their life. There are shoes for every type of occasion, for every type of clothing.

Okay? That explains why so many spouses complain about their wives that have 30 and 40 pairs of shoes. It is a big deal to them. And what does it mean when a woman can no longer wear those fashionable shoes? It is a big deal. The next time you go to a party, a fancy party where everybody is all dressed up and you see the women wearing beautiful dresses, I guarantee you that they are not wearing sneakers, they are wearing high heels, they are wearing pumps, something to make them feel good about themselves, feel sexy, gives them good self-esteem.

What do we know about this self-esteem issue that we heard briefly. We heard Dave Flannery tell us that she comes home and she is depressed sometimes. And Annmarie told us that
she can't wear high heels.
Now, we recognize that at the beginning before this March surgery she had a pretty bad bunion and she had difficulty wearing any real nice shoes. We understand that. But Annmarie was lulled into a false sense of security when she went to Dr. Marzano, that he could get her back into this active lifestyle that she wanted. And that she would be able to wear the type of shoes that she used to be able to wear that she still had sitting in her closet. To a woman, this is a big deal. Guys may not understand this. But this is significant. And it is part of her quality of life issue that we have to consider when you evaluate how much to award Annmarie for what has occurred here.

Now, I have to tell you that, you know, you heard her, you heard Annmarie talk about the fact that she can wear sneakers, sometimes a sandal and a boot, if $I$ recall correctly. And you know that we know that she has the pain and the discomfort, the slight limp in the evening.

And you now reach the point in the questionnaire, in the questions of how much to award Annmarie Flannery. State the amount of
money for pain and suffering from the time of the surgery, March 25th, up until today. That's five years and three months and change.

Well, you know, it would be really easy if this was a case involving a Picasso painting that was valued at $\$ 5$ million. A painter came to your house and while painting accidentally spilled a whole can of paint on your $\$ 5$ million painting. Then it would be easy to say, okay, the painter is responsible for my $\$ 5$ million painting. If you were a basketball player earning $\$ 16.9$ million before being transferred to another team and he was in a car accident and now he could not use his arms for an entire year, it would be easy to say how much money he lost during that entire year. But here we have a different scenario. There is no claim here for lost earnings. Instead, we have a claim for the loss of enjoyment of life. That's part of the claim for the suffering and what she endured over the last five years.

So how can you reasonably come to a decision as to how much money Annmarie Flannery should be entitled to? Let me give you a suggestion that was relayed to me back when I
was in lawsuit from an old professor. Imagine for a moment that we took out an advertisement in the newspaper and that said, $\$ 375,000$. The first person who comes to our door tomorrow morning gets it free. No strings attached. What do you think would Happen? Everybody, the moment they saw that would run to try and be the first one to our door, right? Without a doubt. It would be mayhem, it would be crazy. But what if we said, hang on one second, before you have the ability to get that $\$ 375,000$, what if, instead, there were certain conditions that you would have to meet? What if, instead, you had to undergo a Lapidus bunionectomy? And during the course of that Lapidus bunionectomy, too much bone was taken out. And what if during the course of that surgery, the metatarsal, the first metatarsal, was placed in too far downward of a position? How many people do you think would still think would go ahead and wait in front of our door to get that $\$ 375,000$ ? bet you a lot would not. But I bet you some would. There are some diehards who would do that.

But wait a second. What if we said there
is still more conditions. The other condition is that, hey, in addition to that, as a result of taking out too much bone and putting the metatarsal too far down, what if we said, you have to now have the forces of your foot shifted so now you have pressure under the second and third metatarsals and now every time you walk, it is going to feel like a rock in your shoe; it is going to feel pain and discomfort and you are going to hurt day after day? Okay. How many people do you think would still show up at that door? Not a lot. Maybe one or two. Maybe a couple. I'm not sure.

But, wait, what if we said there are more conditions. That you have to have this problem exist for months and months that leads you to another doctor who tells you you need another surgery, how many people do you think would still be waiting at that door if you told them they had to have another surgery to correct the problem? Not a lot of people. What if you said, hang on, you still can't get that $\$ 375,000$ because there is still more conditions? You now have to continue after that corrective surgery, which did not solve the
problem, you now have to live with it. And you now have to try and bear weight as best you can and go about your daily activities like that. How many people do you think would show up? How many people do you think would show up if you told them, wait, there is still one more conditions? Because your foot has not improved and you still have the pain, you have a choice now. You can have door number one, which is more surgery, we can shorten two bones, or, next to door number one is door number 2, which is, we can do destructive surgery, destroy the joints in your bones, in your foot, to see if that will help; or, door number three, which is do nothing and live with it. How many people do you think would still be standing at that door waiting for that $\$ 375,000$ ? I suggest to you nobody.

> The sad reality is that there is one person who is standing at that door. That's Annmarie Flannery. She is standing at the courthouse door, here, asking for you to make an award to fully and fairly compensate her for the injuries that she suffered as a result of Dr. Marzano's departures from good care. She is
standing here. This is her one and only
opportunity to come to you for justice. And the important thing is that when you go back to deliberate to discuss how much compensation to award, you have to remember that this is her one and only opportunity for justice. Because if you only give half justice, that's injustice. And she can't come back to court a year from now, two years from now, five years from now and say, hey, folks, it simply was insufficient compensation because we know that when you cause harm to somebody else, not intentionally, but through negligence, through carelessness, you have a debt that must be repaid. That's what compensation is all about. And that's why we are here. Seeking compensation. We can't go back in time and put the pieces back together. We are here doing the only thing that we can. And that's asking you to award Annmarie compensation for those injuries.

So for the past pain and suffering that she endured, from March 25, 2005 up until today, a little more than five years, we ask you to compensate her in the amount of $\$ 375,000$.

Now, you then go to the next question
which asks how much do you award to her, if any, for the future? Well, we know what is going to happen to her in the future in the sense that she can either live with it, live with this problem she has told us about, or she can try and have surgery. And we know that if she has surgery, it is going to be, again, an upheaval, no guarantee that this is going to improve her condition.

So, if she ultimately goes ahead and has that, it is an entire recuperative period. Now she has to go through the same healing process as she did in the past. She has to make that decision.

Or she is going to have to grin and bear it and live with these problems for the rest of her life, which is 32 and a half, 32.6 years, and you have to decide and ask yourselves whether $\$ 125,000$ for the rest of her entire life is sufficient to compensate her for that injury.

And remember, the whole goal of you awarding compensation is not to compile and add up what the total is because each individual question rests on its own. So even though you may find that, added together, it may come to a
significant number, my suggestion is, and you cannot, you have to look at it individually. Once you make a decision that Annmarie Flannery is entitled to be compensated, you have to go to the next question to see whether or not her husband, Dave, is also entitled to be compensated for his part of the claim. And that's loss of services. What happened because Annmarie was not able to do the things that she used to do? Well, Dave told you, and you know he was not up here long, but he told you, look, I'm a contractor, I had to come home and I had to do the things that I normally don't do. Annmarie does this, she takes care of all of this stuff, she takes care of the kids. He now had to come in and help out and do everything that he possibly could to help her while she is recuperating and getting better. You heard him say that they can't go on long trips. Why not? Because it hurts her a lot when they -- you know, she has her foot on the accelerator for a long time and can't go to Pennsylvania to Great Wolf Lodge. All of this forms what he -- what is called a loss of services claim. And the
question that you have to answer is how much to award Dave Flannery for his loss of services. And I ask you to consider whether $\$ 50,000$ is sufficient enough to compensate him for those loss of services from the time of the wrongdoing in March of 2005 up until the present time.

And I have one more question that you have -- I have. There is one more question that you have to consider. When you answer that question about the compensation for Dave Flannery, you then have to answer the question about what you are going to award Dave for the future, from today for the foreseeable future, about the loss of services that his wife has as a result of her injuries.

Now, I asked him about their social life. You heard him say that his wife does not like to bring up here feet when they go out because she does not want to make her feet the entire focus of the conversation socially.

So she keeps it in. I asked him how do you know that she is in pain? His answer, I see it in her face. They are married 18 years. When you are married that long, you only have to look at your spouse to know what they are
thinking. You can see it in their face. And for the future, for Dave's loss of services compensation, I ask you to consider whether $\$ 35,000$ is sufficient for his loss of services claim.

You know, we have reached the point where we have been here for just about two weeks now. And at the very beginning during jury selection, I asked you to consider whether if we show you that we are more likely right than wrong that there were departures from good care, that those departures caused Annmarie injury, whether you could award compensation? And each one of you said you could. I asked you and I looked at you, each one of you, and you looked at me, and I said, Do you have any hesitation awarding a particular amount that you could not award more than or less than? And each one of you looked at me and told me that you had no preset ideas of how much you could or could not award.

It is now at this point that $I$ come to you
and ask you to consider the compensation that
Annmarie is entitled to. As a result of everything that has occurred, the departures, the substantial factor in causing these injuries
and the injuries that she is going to live with.
And now in just a moment $I$ am going to sit down and $I$ know her Honor is going to instruct you on what we are doing for the rest of the day. And tomorrow you are going to get instructions on the law. And after that, you're going to go back into the jury room to deliberate. And remember what I told you in jury selection. I said, even if you are not sure, absolutely sure about a particular issue in this case, you don't have to be here for days and days trying to be absolutely sure. You only have to believe that we are more likely right than wrong that what we are saying is correct. And when you do that, you will have absolutely no difficulty awarding a verdict in our favor reflecting that Annmarie Flannery is entitled to be compensated for her injuries. On behalf of Annmarie and Dave Flannery and myself, I really want to thank each of you for being here taking time away from your families because you are doing your civic duty and we appreciate that.

Thank you and thank you, your Honor.

## PROCEEDINGS

| \$ | $\|$$1027 / 11027 / 4$ <br> accurate [3] 1010 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$125,000 [1] 1037/19 | achieved [1] 1008/22 | 1025/20 1025/25 1026/2 1026/7 1026/18 |
| \$16.9 [1] 1032/12 | Aching [1] 1024/10 | 1027/11 1027/12 1032/23 1034/2 1035/2 |
| \$16.9 million [1] 1032/12 | active [2] 1007/11031/8 | 1035/24 1037/13 1039/14 1040/23 |
| \$35,000 [1] 1040/4 | activities [1] 1035/3 | ask [10] 1007/13 1009/19 1010/25 1016/2 |
| \$375,000 [6] 1033/3 1033/12 1033/22 | activity [1] 1024/11 | 1023/18 1036/23 1037/18 1039/3 1040/3 |
| 1034/23 1035/17 1036/24 | actually [3] 1018/7 1018/8 1028/6 | 1040/22 |
| \$5 [3] 1032/6 1032/8 1032/10 | add [1] 1037/22 | asked [12] 1005/19 1006/6 1007/15 1009/3 |
| \$5 million [3] 1032/6 1032/8 1032/10 \$50,000 [1] 1039/3 | added [1] 1037/25 | 1010/2 1010/14 1027/24 1028/25 1039/16 |
| , | additional [1] 1025/4 | asking [4] 1023/7 1026/24 1035/22 1036/19 |
|  | address [1] 1020/10 | asks [1] 1037/1 |
| '06 [1] 1024/15 | addressing [2] 1004/16 1018/18 |  |
| 0 | adversary [4] 1014/17 1016/7 1016/8 1025/25 | attached [1] 1033/5 |
| 06 [1] 955/5 | advocating [1] 1014/22 | attention [4] 1006/5 1012/1 1021/20 1030/1 |
| 1 | affect [1] 1028/15 | attorney [3] 955/15 955/19 1007/17 |
| 10601 [1] 955/10 | after [6] 1013/14 1019/15 1023/6 1034/10 | Avenue [1] 955/19 |
| 10603-1789 [1] 955/20 | 1034/24 1041/6 | avoid [1] 1020/19 |
| 11021 [1] 955/16 | afterwards [1] 1013/23 | award [14] 1023/19 1027/6 1027/8 1031/15 |
| 111 [1] 955/10 | again [18] 1006/14 1006/15 1007/25 1009/7 | 1031/25 1035/23 1036/5 1036/19 1037/1 |
| 11230/06 [1] 955/5 | 1013/9 1013/21 1016/2 1016/19 1018/24 | 1039/2 1039/12 1040/13 1040/17 1040/20 |
| 12th [1] 1025/18 | 1021/4 1021/12 1021/25 1025/19 1026/14 | awarding [3] 1037/22 1040/16 1041/16 |
| $13 \text { [1] 1017/23 }$ | 1026/16 1026/18 1029/4 1037/7 | away [1] 1041/21 |
| $\begin{array}{ll}14[1] & 1018 / 3 \\ 15 & 1] \\ 1023 / 24\end{array}$ | against [1] 955/4 | awful [1] 1006/1 |
| $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 15[1] ~ 1023 / 24 \\ & 170[1] 955 / 19 \end{aligned}\right.$ | age [1] 1026/25 agree [4] 1005/22 | B |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1789 \text { [1] 955/20 } \\ & 18[1] \text { 1039/23 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | agreed [3] 1012/16 1020/11 1020/12 <br> ahead [4] 1025/24 1027/15 1033/21 1037/10 | back [12] 1018/20 1020/25 1024/19 1025/12 1025/19 1031/8 1032/25 1036/3 1036/8 |
| 2 | all [16] 1004/1 1007/22 1010/18 1010/23 1020/24 1021/14 1023/3 1023/10 1024/22 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { 1036/17 1036/17 1041/7 } \\ \text { bad [1] 1031/3 } \end{array}$ |
| 2005 [3] 1023/25 1036/22 1039/6 | 1025/16 1026/10 1028/3 1030/15 1036/15 | ball [1] 1027/5 |
| 2006 [5] 1005/11 1014/21 1016/19 1024/12 | 1038/15 1038/24 | bar [1] 1030/1 |
| 1024/17 | almost [2] 1015/21 1025/18 | bare [1] 1028/5 |
| 2010 [1] 955/11 | already [1] 1020/20 |  |
| 21 [1] 1015/3 | also [5] 1007/9 1014/1 1017/11 1017/11 | basketball [1] 1032/11 |
| 22 [4] 955/11 1005/11 1008/13 1009/21 <br> 23rd [1] 1016/19 | $1038 / 6$ | be [31] 1004/1 1005/2 1005/24 1011/23 1012/15 1012/17 1015/6 1020/22 1021/3 |
| 25 [2] 955/16 1036/22 | amount [5] 1009/22 1011/21 1031/25 | 1022/5 1023/13 1026/17 1027/9 1031/9 |
| 25th [2] 1008/9 1032/2 | 1036/24 1040/17 | 1031/10 1032/4 1032/9 1032/15 1032/24 |
| 27 [1] 1015/12 | ankle [2] 1014/14 1017/21 | 1033/8 1033/9 1033/10 1034/19 1035/16 |
| 3 | ANNMARIE [33] 955/3 1005/5 1005/10 1006/8 | 1036/14 1037/7 1038/4 1038/7 1041/11 |
| 30 [1] 1030/10 32 [1] 1037/17 32.6 [2] 1027/3 1037/17 | 1020/13 1021/11 1022/2 1023/19 1024/6 1025/11 1026/3 1027/2 1027/24 1030/25 1031/5 1031/16 1031/18 1031/25 1032/23 | bear [4] 1012/3 1026/1 1035/2 1037/15 beautiful [1] 1030/16 because [30] 1005/18 1005/25 1006/20 |
| 4 | 1035/21 1036/19 1038/4 1038/9 1038/14 | 1007/4 1009/12 1010/1 1014/21 1019/4 |
| $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 40 \text { [2] } 1015 / 211030 / 10 \\ 43 \text { [1] 1027/1 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Annmarie Flannery [6] 1027/2 1031/25 1032/23 1035/21 1038/4 1041/17 | 1022/11 1025/13 1027/22 1028/7 1029/5 1029/11 1030/2 1030/5 1034/23 1035/7 |
| 7 | Annmarie Flannery's [2] 1006/8 1008/8 | 1036/6 1036/11 1037/23 1038/9 1038/20 |
| 74 [1] 1015/15 | Annmarie's [2] 1008/23 1023/15 another [8] 1007/13 1020/17 1021/1 1027/3 | become [1] 1028/14 |
| 9 | 1032/13 1034/17 1034/17 1034/20 | been [11] 1004/12 1007/11 1020/6 1020/7 |
| 90 degrees [3] 1005/15 1006/9 1006/23 90 -degree [1] 1006/20 | answer [20] 1005/2 1009/5 1010/9 1011/3 1012/17 1013/3 1013/7 1016/4 1018/3 1019/3 1019/22 1019/25 1020/3 1023/12 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { 1020/7 1021/5 1021/9 1025/1 1027/11 } \\ \text { 1028/3 1040/7 } \\ \text { before [5] 1022/1 1025/21 1031/3 1032/12 } \end{array}$ |
| A | 1023/16 1029/1 1039/1 1039/9 1039/11 | 1033/11 |
| ability [1] 1033/11 <br> able [4] 1006/22 1031/9 1031/10 1038/9 <br> about [46] <br> absolutely [4] 1014/10 1041/10 1041/12 <br> 1041/15 <br> accelerator [1] 1038/22 <br> accepted [11] 1004/21 1005/1 1011/4 <br> 1011/17 1011/20 1012/15 1015/23 1018/16 <br> 1019/10 1019/23 1023/12 <br> accessory [1] 1030/5 <br> accident [1] 1032/13 <br> accidentally [1] 1032/7 <br> according [5] 1012/23 1019/8 1019/12 | 1039/22 <br> answers [1] 1010/8 <br> any [8] 1005/1 1009/23 1016/2 1019/19 1028/25 1031/4 1037/1 1040/16 <br> anybody [1] 1014/22 <br> anything [1] 1029/5 <br> appreciate [1] 1041/23 <br> April [1] 1024/15 <br> are [56] <br> argument [1] 1004/5 <br> arms [1] 1032/14 <br> arose [1] 1019/15 <br> as [28] 1005/8 1006/19 1007/1 1008/15 | ```begin [1] 1023/18 beginning [4] 1005/18 1011/12 1031/2 1040/8 behalf [1] 1041/19 being [8] 1004/10 1017/13 1018/10 1024/21 1024/24 1026/14 1032/12 1041/21 believable [1] 1021/24 believe [5] 1017/7 1017/9 1019/17 1029/23 1041/13 belt [1] 1022/9 best [2] 1025/12 1035/2 bet [2] 1033/22 1033/23 better [1] 1038/19``` |


| B | causing [6] 1005/5 1013/6 1019/3 1020/2 | couldn't [1] 1027/18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| between [1] 1017/22 <br> beyond [1] 1011/19 <br> big [5] 1024/21 1030/6 1030/11 1030/13 1031/12 <br> bit [1] 1013/24 <br> Blvd [1] 955/10 <br> board [7] 1008/12 1009/20 1014/11 1015/9 1016/17 1017/19 1021/21 <br> bone [22] 1004/9 1008/7 1008/10 1008/14 1008/15 1009/4 1009/7 1009/22 1010/1 1010/4 1010/7 1011/17 1011/21 1012/2 1012/9 1012/18 1012/23 1013/4 1019/4 1025/9 1033/16 1034/3 <br> bones [5] 1018/11 1018/13 1022/24 1035/10 1035/13 <br> boot [1] 1031/20 <br> boots [1] 1029/20 <br> bored [1] 1027/11 <br> bottom [6] 1004/16 1024/10 1024/13 <br> 1024/22 1025/21 1029/13 | ```centimeter [2] 1008/13 1009/2 Central [1] 1015/8 certain [1] 1033/12 certified [7] 1008/12 1009/20 1014/12 1015/9 1016/17 1017/19 1021/21 certify [1] 1042/4 chance [2] 1020/9 1022/17 change [1] 1032/3 child [1] 1020/22 choice [1] 1035/8 civic [1] 1041/22 claim [8] 1019/8 1022/5 1032/17 1032/18 1032/20 1038/8 1038/25 1040/5 claiming [2] 1012/8 1021/5 claims [1] 1021/10 clear [1] 1019/9 clearly [1] 1015/22 client [1] 1027/15 closet [1] 1031/11 closing [1] 1004/4``` | ```COUNTY [1] 955/1 couple [3] 1013/14 1027/20 1034/13 course [4] 1004/22 1008/8 1033/15 1033/17 court [6] 955/1 955/13 955/23 1007/22 1023/5 1036/8 courthouse [1] 1035/22 cover [1] 1007/17 cramping [1] 1024/11 cranky [1] 1028/15 crazy [1] 1033/10 credence [1] 1011/10 credentials [2] 1008/4 1011/11 credible [1] 1021/23 cross [1] 1028/22 CRR [1] 1042/9 crutch [1] 1029/4 crystal [1] 1027/5 curb [1] 1028/19 cut [1] 1029/8 CYNTHIA [3] 955/23 1042/4 1042/9``` |
|  |  | D |
| 1039/18 <br> bringing [1] 1020/2 <br> brings [2] 1013/25 1018/19 <br> brought [1] 1016/7 <br> bunion [2] 1022/22 1031/4 <br> bunionectomy [5] 1021/16 1021/16 1022/18 1033/15 1033/16 <br> but [35] 1004/23 1008/25 1009/19 1010/13 1011/7 1011/18 1013/1 1013/12 1015/13 1018/8 1018/11 1019/12 1020/15 1022/5 1023/3 1023/9 1026/25 1027/5 1027/13 1027/18 1027/23 1028/11 1028/16 1029/1 1029/9 1029/17 1031/5 1031/13 1032/16 $1033 / 101033 / 231033 / 251034 / 141036 / 12$ $1038 / 11$ | COLLINS [1] 955/18 come [16] 1004/18 1004/24 1009/11 1014/17 1018/13 1020/25 1026/8 1026/15 1028/14 1032/22 1036/2 1036/8 1037/25 1038/13 1038/16 1040/21 <br> comes [3] 1024/19 1030/24 1033/4 coming [1] 1005/20 comment [3] 1005/13 1006/7 1016/6 compensate [4] 1035/23 1036/24 1037/20 1039/4 <br> compensated [3] 1038/4 1038/7 1041/18 compensation [10] 1036/4 1036/11 1036/15 1036/16 1036/20 1037/22 1039/10 1040/3 1040/13 1040/22 compile [1] 1037/22 | ```D.P.M [1] 955/6 dad [1] 1028/21 daily [1] 1035/3 date [1] 1029/24 Dave [9] 1026/15 1028/12 1030/23 1038/6 1038/10 1039/2 1039/10 1039/12 1041/19 Dave's [1] 1040/2 DAVID [1] 955/3 day [6] 1028/3 1028/3 1029/11 1034/10 1034/10 1041/5 days [3] 1027/21 1041/11 1041/12 deal [3] 1030/11 1030/13 1031/12 debt [1] 1036/14 December [3] 1013/17 1023/24 1024/17 December 15 [1] 1023/24``` |
| C |  |  |
| called [4] 1010/8 1012/10 1025/7 1038/25 callousing [1] 1025/22 <br> came [6] 1008/11 1009/1 1010/4 1016/11 1016/13 1032/6 <br> camera [2] 1028/8 1028/9 can [24] 1011/1 1011/9 1015/17 1018/7 1020/17 1022/2 1025/5 1025/7 1028/18 1028/20 1028/21 1028/22 1030/12 1031/19 1032/8 1032/22 1035/2 1035/9 1035/10 1035/12 1036/18 1037/4 1037/5 1040/1 can't [10] 1007/24 1018/6 1026/9 1028/10 1031/1 1034/22 1036/8 1036/16 1038/19 1038/22 <br> cancel [1] 1020/21 <br> cane [2] 1029/3 1029/4 <br> cannot [2] 1028/17 1038/2 <br> car [2] 1007/24 1032/13 <br> care [19] 1004/21 1005/1 1011/4 1011/17 <br> 1011/20 1012/19 1012/22 1014/2 1015/23 1018/16 1019/13 1019/23 1020/22 1023/9 1023/12 1035/25 1038/15 1038/15 1040/11 careless [1] 1006/2 <br> carelessness [1] 1036/13 <br> cascade [1] 1012/10 <br> case [12] 1004/7 1004/8 1004/9 1004/11 1007/12 1014/11 1017/17 1019/17 1020/6 1021/6 1032/5 1041/11 <br> cases [1] 1029/15 <br> catch [1] 1027/15 <br> caught [1] 1012/1 <br> cause [1] 1036/11 <br> caused [4] 1013/8 1013/10 1019/13 1040/12 | ```complaining [1] 1024/20 complains [2] 1024/9 1024/13 complaint [1] 1024/21 complaints [1] 1024/20 complete [2] 1010/22 1010/24 complications [1] 1019/15 concept [7] 1007/6 1007/25 1008/6 1013/25 1014/3 1014/5 1018/20 concepts [2] 1004/24 1023/11 conclusion [2] 1004/25 1019/21 conclusory [1] 1010/8 condition [4] 1025/15 1026/23 1034/1 1037/9 conditions [5] 1033/13 1034/1 1034/15 1034/24 1035/7 confused [2] 1006/18 1007/1 consent [1] 1021/13 conservative [1] 1025/1 consider [8] 1026/20 1027/5 1031/15 1039/3 1039/9 1040/3 1040/9 1040/22 consists [1] 1029/18 contemplated [1] 1016/20 continue [1] 1034/24 contractor [1] 1038/12 conversation [1] 1039/20 correct [2] 1034/20 1041/14 corrective [5] 1013/19 1013/22 1024/5 1024/16 1034/25 correctly [1] 1031/20 could [18] 1007/20 1007/22 1009/5 1009/7 1009/10 1022/10 1022/11 1022/22 1027/16 1028/24 1031/7 1032/14 1038/17 1040/13 1040/14 1040/17 1040/20 1040/20``` | decides [1] 1026/12 <br> decision [5] 1025/23 1026/7 1032/23 1037/14 1038/3 <br> Defendant [1] 955/19 <br> Defendant's [1] 1005/8 <br> Defendants [1] 955/7 <br> defense [1] 1007/16 <br> define [2] 1010/19 1010/24 <br> degree [1] 1006/20 <br> degrees [4] 1005/15 1006/9 1006/23 1015/21 <br> deliberate [2] 1036/4 1041/8 <br> depart [1] 1023/12 <br> departed [2] 1004/25 1019/22 <br> departure [22] 1004/21 1005/4 1005/4 <br> 1011/4 1011/16 1011/20 1012/15 1012/18 <br> 1012/19 1012/22 1013/5 1015/23 1015/25 <br> 1016/3 1018/15 1019/2 1019/9 1019/13 <br> 1019/18 1020/11023/14 1023/14 <br> departures [8] 1011/15 1014/2 1023/9 <br> 1026/11 1035/25 1040/11 1040/12 1040/24 <br> depends [2] 1010/18 1010/24 <br> depressed [1] 1030/25 <br> describing [1] 1008/22 <br> desk [2] 1007/19 1007/23 <br> destroy [1] 1035/12 <br> destroys [1] 1025/8 <br> destructive [2] 1025/7 1035/12 <br> detail [4] 1006/5 1010/25 1013/12 1021/21 <br> determine [1] 1010/7 <br> determining [1] 1021/22 <br> did [16] 1008/24 1009/25 1010/1 1019/24 <br> 1019/25 1020/22 1022/6 1022/6 1022/8 |


| D | E | 1016/1 1019/4 1033/19 1034/4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ```did... [7] 1023/11 1023/13 1028/8 1028/11 1028/23 1034/25 1037/13 didn't [2] 1017/11021/11 diehards [1] 1033/24 difference [1] 1017/21 different [5] 1023/11 1025/5 1027/21 1029/22 1032/17 difficulty [3] 1028/4 1031/4 1041/16 direct [1] 1012/9 discomfort [4] 1013/15 1029/13 1031/22 1034/9 discuss [1] 1036/4 discussing [1] 1020/6 discussion [4] 1004/11 1004/22 1021/9 1021/12 disregard [3] 1007/8 1007/10 1008/2 disrupted [1] 1021/4 disruption [1] 1026/13 disruptive [1] 1020/23 distinction [1] 1018/5 do [50] doctor [10] 1004/8 1005/20 1007/15 1007/20 1010/15 1010/21 1011/1 1014/9 1016/22 1034/17 does [14] 1004/14 1006/4 1024/20 1026/1 1026/1 1026/21 1028/1 1028/13 1028/14 1028/16 1030/12 1038/14 1039/17 1039/19 doesn't [1] 1017/16 doing [7] 1015/24 1019/9 1022/11027/16 1036/18 1041/4 1041/22 don't [7] 1020/25 1022/20 1027/23 1028/8 1029/23 1038/14 1041/11 done [5] 1019/17 1020/24 1022/4 1023/3 1025/2 door [13] 1028/19 1033/4 1033/8 1033/21 1034/12 1034/19 1035/9 1035/11 1035/11 1035/14 1035/16 1035/20 1035/22 dot [1] 1009/16 doubt [8] 1011/19 1011/19 1012/24 1013/10 1016/2 1016/4 1019/12 1033/9 down [8] 1004/10 1015/4 1015/5 1016/1 1019/4 1028/5 1034/4 1041/3 downward [2] 1015/21 1033/19 Dr [15] 955/10 1005/7 1005/12 1006/6 1007/15 1009/3 1009/25 1010/12 1011/7``` | each [5] 1037/23 1040/13 1040/15 1040/18 1041/20 <br> earning [1] 1032/12 <br> earnings [1] 1032/18 <br> easel [1] 1011/24 <br> easy [4] 1026/20 1032/4 1032/9 1032/15 <br> Edwin [1] 1005/7 <br> effect [1] 1012/11 <br> effectively [1] 1021/6 <br> either [2] 1025/5 1037/4 <br> elements [3] 1019/19 1020/5 1023/11 <br> else [5] 1011/22 1014/23 1016/20 1028/16 1036/12 <br> end [1] 1024/15 <br> endured [2] 1032/20 1036/22 <br> enjoyment [1] 1032/19 <br> enough [3] 1012/4 1022/8 1039/4 <br> entire [8] 1007/9 1007/10 1008/3 1032/14 <br> 1032/16 1037/11 1037/19 1039/19 <br> entirely [1] 1029/22 <br> entitled [5] 1032/24 1038/4 1038/7 1040/23 1041/17 <br> especially [1] 1009/23 <br> ESQ [2] 955/17 955/21 <br> establish [1] 1013/2 <br> esteem [2] 1030/21 1030/22 <br> evaluate [3] 1014/17 1023/21 1031/15 <br> even [7] 1005/16 1005/17 1006/18 1012/16 <br> 1020/11 1037/24 1041/9 <br> evening [1] 1031/22 <br> event [1] 1004/14 <br> every [6] 1008/2 1029/11 1029/11 1030/7 1030/7 1034/7 <br> everybody [3] 1023/22 1030/15 1033/7 <br> everyone [1] 1004/1 <br> everything [2] 1038/17 1040/24 <br> evidence [1] 1017/5 <br> evidentiary [2] 1006/15 1017/6 <br> exactly [3] 1012/7 1012/8 1012/13 <br> exam [1] 1016/19 <br> except [1] 1016/21 <br> excuses [1] 1010/5 <br> exhausted [1] 1025/1 <br> exhibit [1] 1017/7 <br> exist [1] 1034/16 | favor [2] 1005/3 1041/16 <br> fax [1] 1007/21 <br> feel [4] 1030/19 1030/20 1034/8 1034/9 <br> feet [4] 1026/17 1028/3 1039/18 1039/19 <br> fellowship [1] 1014/14 <br> finally [1] 1013/18 <br> find [5] 1007/7 1007/11 1007/25 1019/24 1037/25 <br> finished [1] 1025/3 <br> first [20] 1004/10 1004/17 1005/6 1008/5 1012/3 1014/3 1015/13 1015/16 1015/20 1017/14 1018/17 1018/24 1019/21 1020/19 1020/21 1022/23 1024/2 1033/4 1033/8 1033/18 <br> five [6] 1014/12 1023/5 1032/3 1032/21 1036/9 1036/23 <br> fix [2] 1022/22 1026/22 <br> FLANNERY [13] 955/3 955/3 1004/4 1027/2 1030/24 1031/25 1032/23 1035/21 1038/4 1039/2 1039/11 1041/17 1041/19 <br> Fannery's [2] 1006/8 1008/8 <br> flex [1] 1018/5 <br> floor [1] 1028/5 <br> focus [1] 1039/19 <br> folks [1] 1036/10 <br> following [1] 1027/20 <br> foot [25] 1004/8 1004/16 1008/8 1012/11 <br> 1014/14 1017/20 1018/9 1021/16 1021/17 <br> 1023/25 1024/2 1024/9 1024/10 1024/13 <br> 1024/19 1024/22 1025/21 1028/5 1028/6 <br> 1029/13 1029/16 1034/5 1035/7 1035/13 1038/21 <br> forces [4] 1012/4 1012/11 1018/25 1034/5 <br> foreseeable [1] 1039/13 <br> form [1] 1021/13 <br> forms [1] 1038/24 <br> found [1] 1011/22 <br> fourth [1] 1020/4 <br> free [1] 1033/5 <br> front [2] 1028/19 1033/21 <br> fully [1] 1035/23 <br> further [2] 1015/5 1019/14 <br> fuses [1] 1025/9 <br> future [7] 1026/8 1027/6 1037/2 1037/3 <br> 1039/13 1039/13 1040/2 |
|  |  | G |
| Dr. [44] <br> Dr. Joseph [13] 1008/11 1008/12 1008/20 1008/25 1009/10 1009/17 1011/15 1012/14 1013/6 1015/7 1015/19 1019/9 1022/16 Dr. Marzano [17] 1004/25 1006/12 1008/7 1008/17 1008/21 1013/18 1014/6 1016/11 1019/22 1020/12 1021/10 1021/25 1022/12 1023/11 1023/24 1024/4 1031/7 <br> Dr. Marzano's [7] 1006/1 1006/10 1006/17 1006/25 1021/15 1021/20 1035/25 | ```experience [2] 1008/13 1022/8 expert [5] 1005/9 1007/14 1015/6 1015/8 1016/13 explain [2] 1004/19 1009/25 explains [1] 1030/9 explanation [4] 1009/10 1009/11 1009/17 1010/10 explanations [1] 1010/13 extent [2] 1029/18 1029/21 eye [1] 1029/9``` | gentlemen [1] 1004/2 <br> GERALD [2] 955/15 955/17 <br> Gerry [1] 1004/3 <br> get [13] 1006/22 1010/8 1015/18 1016/16 <br> 1016/21 1020/24 1023/17 1027/7 1031/7 <br> 1033/11 1033/22 1034/23 1041/5 <br> gets [2] 1026/8 1033/5 <br> getting [1] 1038/18 <br> give [9] 1004/4 1005/3 1009/5 1011/9 <br> 1013/12 1014/18 1025/13 1032/24 1036/7 |
| Dr. Matthew Roberts [1] 1024/7 <br> Dr. Roberts [5] 1014/25 1024/8 1024/16 | F | gives [1] 1030/20 <br> giving [2] 1005/21 1010/9 |
| ```1025/2 1025/19 Dr. Roberts' [1] 1015/18 drawing [1] 1011/24 dressed [1] 1030/15 dresses [1] 1030/16 drugstore [1] 1028/22 dry [1] 1027/13 during [13] 1004/16 1004/22 1007/14 1008/8 1009/23 1011/12 1014/6 1020/6 1020/18 1032/16 1033/15 1033/17 1040/8 duty [1] 1041/22``` | ```face [2] 1039/23 1040/1 faced [1] 1026/18 fact [4] 1006/15 1008/17 1022/7 1031/19 factor [7] 1005/5 1013/5 1013/9 1019/2 1020/11023/15 1040/25 Fair [1] 1025/15 fairly [1] 1035/23 false [1] 1031/6 falsely [2] 1007/7 1008/1 families [1] 1041/22 fancy [2] 1009/10 1030/14 far [8] 1004/10 1013/1 1015/2 1015/4``` | go [24] 1013/11 1013/24 1018/20 1019/24 1020/4 1021/1 1025/24 1027/15 1028/17 1028/18 1028/22 1029/24 1030/14 1033/21 1035/3 1036/3 1036/16 1036/25 1037/12 1038/5 1038/19 1038/22 1039/18 1041/7 goal [3] 1005/22 1015/15 1037/21 goes [2] 1025/19 1037/10 going [40] 1007/5 1013/11 1014/9 1014/11 1016/21 1016/21 1018/25 1019/5 1020/4 1020/9 1020/22 1022/3 1022/18 1022/20 1022/24 1023/1 1023/19 1025/11 1025/12 1025/13 1025/14 1026/15 1026/16 1026/19 |


| $\mathbf{G}$ | herself [1] 1011/15 <br> hesitation [1] 1040/16 | interpretation [2] 1009/12 1009/18 into [6] 1013/11 1013/24 1028/9 1031/6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| going... [16] 1026/22 1027/7 1027/9 1034/8 | hey [4] 1013/18 1021/6 1034/2 1036/10 | 1031/8 1041/7 |
| 1034/9 1034/10 1037/2 1037/7 1037/8 | high [3] 1030/4 1030/18 1031/1 | involving [4] 1004/11 1013/21 1029/15 |
| 1037/15 1039/12 1041/1 1041/2 1041/3 | HILLS [3] 955/23 1042/4 1042/9 | 1032/5 |
| 1041/5 1041/7 | him [14] 1005/19 1005/19 1007/15 1010/2 | is [179] |
| good [23] 1004/21 1005/1 1010/15 1010/21 | 1010/6 1010/14 1010/14 1012/16 1024/19 | isn't [1] 1012/7 |
| 1011/4 1011/16 1011/20 1012/15 1012/19 | 1038/19 1039/4 1039/16 1039/17 1039/21 | issue [7] 1006/20 1026/21 1029/6 1029/10 |
| 1012/22 1014/2 1015/23 1018/15 1019/10 | himself [2] 1006/21 1006/25 | 1030/23 1031/14 1041/10 |
| 1019/13 1019/23 1020/8 1023/9 1023/12 | hired [2] 1014/16 1014/17 | it [93] |
| 1030/19 1030/20 1035/25 1040/11 | his [21] 1006/19 1008/17 1008/18 1008/21 | it's [7] 1007/19 1007/23 1007/23 1008/24 |
| got [7] 1006/9 1006/23 1009/9 1009/9 | 1008/25 1009/16 1010/12 1011/10 1015/3 | 1018/8 1018/8 1028/4 |
| 1010/14 1012/16 1016/24 | 1015/12 1016/18 1017/23 1022/9 1025/25 | its [1] 1037/24 |
| great [5] 955/16 955/16 1022/4 1023/2 | 1032/14 1038/7 1039/2 1039/14 1039/17 | itself [2] 1013/2 1017/10 |
| 1038/23 | 1039/22 1040/4 | J |
| grin [2] 1026/1 1037/15 | home [7] 1020/23 1026/14 1026/16 1028/9 |  |
| grow [1] 1017/1 | 1028/14 1030/24 1038/13 | January [2] 1014/21 1016/19 |
| guarantee [4] 1025/14 1030/2 1030/16 | HON [1] 955/12 | January 23rd [1] 1016/19 |
| 1037/8 | Honor [5] 1004/6 1006/13 1007/5 1041/3 | JOHN [1] 955/6 |
| guess [2] 1022/5 1022/23 | 1041/24 | joints [1] 1035/13 |
| guides [1] 1011/6 | Hospital [1] 1024/8 | Joseph [13] 1008/11 1008/12 1008/20 |
| guy [1] 1027/20 | house [1] 1032/7 | 1008/25 1009/10 1009/17 1011/15 1012/14 |
| guy's [1] 1029/21 | how [30] 1008/9 1009/3 1009/5 1009/21 | 1013/6 1015/7 1015/19 1019/9 1022/16 |
| Guys [2] 1029/16 1031/12 | 1010/1 1010/3 1010/7 1010/19 1010/24 | Jr [1] 955/10 |
| H | 1017/1 1023/18 1027/6 1027/8 1028/7 | judge [1] 1010/6 |
| had |  |  |
| 1013/22 1014/15 1017/24 1018/10 1020/20 | 1035/15 1036/4 1037/1 1039/1 1039/21 | just [10] 1008/20 1009/16 1010/9 1013/12 |
| 1022/1 1022/4 1022/7 1022/13 1023/23 | 1040/20 | 1016/7 1017/1 1020/3 1023/22 1040/7 |
| 1023/25 1024/2 1024/15 1025/1 1025/2 | hurt [1] 1034/10 | 1041/2 |
| 1027/14 1029/8 1031/3 1031/4 1031/11 | hurts [2] 1028/7 1038/20 | justice [4] 955/13 1036/2 1036/6 1036/7 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1033/14 1034/20 1038/12 1038/13 1038/16 } \\ & \text { 1040/19 } \end{aligned}$ | husband [5] 1026/14 1027/25 1028/11 1029/2 1038/6 | K |
| half [2] 1036/7 1037/17 | 1029/21038/6 | keep [3] 1010/16 1010/22 1028/12 |
| Hamilton [1] 955/19 |  | keeps [1] 1039/21 |
| hang [2] 1033/10 1034/22 | I'm [9] 1013/11 1020/3 1022/3 1024/2 | kids [4] 1028/15 1028/20 1028/21 1038/16 |
| happen [6] 1004/13 1022/3 1022/10 1022/11 | 1025/11 1025/12 1027/7 1034/13 1038/12 | kind [1] 1030/1 |
| 1033/6 1037/3 | ideas [1] 1040/19 | King [1] 955/10 |
| happened [5] 1006/20 1012/7 1020/15 | if [41] | knew [2] 1022/13 1022/15 |
| 1023/4 1038/9 | Imagine [1] 1033/1 | know [41] |
| happening [1] 1023/1 | important [5] 1005/25 1005/25 1007/4 | knowing [1] 1025/16 |
| happens [2] 1018/23 1028/1 | 1014/25 1036/3 | knows [2] 1009/4 1009/6 |
| harm [1] 1036/12 | improper [1] 1014/3 | L |
| has [34] 1007/7 1007/11 1008/1 1013/6 |  |  |
| 1014/9 1014/12 1014/15 1016/18 1016/24 | improve [2] 1025/14 1037/8 | lack [1] 1006/5 |
| 1017/12 1017/17 1020/14 1021/9 1022/12 | improved [1] 1035/7 | ladies [1] 1004/2 |
| 1023/13 1025/20 1025/22 1025/23 1026/3 | in [97] | Lapidus [4] 1009/23 1022/18 1033/14 |
| 1026/6 1026/6 1027/4 1029/12 1031/16 | inaccurate [2] 1005/23 1005/24 | 1033/16 |
| 1031/21 1035/7 1037/5 1037/6 1037/10 | inappropriate [1] 1009/7 | last [3] 1013/17 1023/24 1032/21 |
| 1037/12 1037/13 1038/21 1039/14 1040/24 | incorrect [1] 1006/24 | later [5] 1013/24 1021/1 1024/7 1024/17 |
| have [96] | INDEX [1] 955/4 | 1025/18 |
| having [1] 1004/15 | indicated [1] 1012/14 | law [2] 955/15 1041/6 |
| he [63] | individual [1] 1037/23 | lawsuit [4] 1014/16 1014/23 1016/20 1033/1 |
| healed [2] 1015/5 1015/13 | individually [1] 1038/2 | lead [1] 1019/20 |
| healing [1] 1037/12 | information [3] 1006/10 1006/24 1015/17 | leads [2] 1019/16 1034/16 |
| hear [1] 1027/25 | informative [1] 1027/14 | learn [1] 1015/17 |
| heard [9] 1014/5 1022/11 1028/2 1030/23 | initially [1] 1010/12 | learned [1] 1029/15 |
| 1030/23 1031/18 1031/18 1038/19 1039/17 | injuries [13] 1013/11 1013/13 1020/2 | left [1] 1021/16 |
| heels [3] 1030/4 1030/18 1031/1 | 1023/15 1023/19 1023/21 1026/10 1035/24 | leg [1] 1029/8 |
| help [11] 1011/2 1014/20 1014/24 1016/22 | 1036/20 1039/15 1040/25 1041/1 1041/18 | less [2] 1007/11 1040/18 |
| 1016/23 1025/9 1026/16 1026/19 1035/14 | injury [8] 1005/5 1013/6 1013/10 1013/21 | let [5] 1004/18 1004/18 1007/13 1027/10 |
| 1038/16 1038/17 | 1019/3 1019/14 1037/20 1040/12 | 1032/24 |
| her [63] | injustice [1] 1036/7 | let's [2] 1008/5 1016/16 |
| here [35] 1004/21 1005/8 1005/21 1007/21 | inside [1] 1028/13 | letter [1] 1007/18 |
| 1008/11 1011/24 1012/7 1012/22 1012/24 | instead [6] 1009/9 1010/7 1014/19 1032/18 | life [12] 1021/3 1026/13 1026/24 1027/2 |
| 1014/22 1014/24 1015/25 1016/3 1018/16 | 1033/12 1033/14 | 1029/6 1029/10 1030/6 1031/14 1032/19 |
| 1019/8 1020/16 1020/25 1022/5 1022/21 | instruct [1] 1041/3 | 1037/17 1037/19 1039/16 |
| 1023/5 1023/6 1025/3 1029/4 1031/16 | instructions [1] 1041/6 | lifestyle [1] 1031/8 |
| 1032/16 1032/17 1035/22 1036/1 1036/16 | instructive [1] 1027/13 | like [13] 1017/1 1017/25 1021/15 1026/24 |
| 1036/18 1038/11 1039/18 1040/7 1041/11 | insufficient [1] 1036/10 | 1027/12 1028/13 1028/14 1029/5 1029/7 |
| 1041/21 | intentionally [1] 1036/12 | 1029/8 1034/8 1035/3 1039/17 |
| here's [1] 1027/18 hereby [1] 1042/4 | interest [2] 1014/10 1014/15 <br> interesting [3] 1014/4 1027/21 1027/22 | likely [11] 1004/19 1011/14 1012/21 1012/25 1013/8 1015/24 1019/11 1023/8 1028/10 |


| L |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| likely... [2] 1040/10 1041/13 <br> likes [3] 1028/12 1030/3 1030/4 <br> limited [1] 1029/17 <br> limp [3] 1028/7 1029/2 1031/22 <br> limping [1] 1028/25 <br> listening [1] 1010/12 <br> literally [1] 1025/8 <br> little [5] 1013/11 1013/24 1024/6 1028/21 <br> 1036/23 <br> live [12] 1025/12 1026/2 1026/3 1026/9 <br> 1026/23 1027/3 1035/1 1035/15 1037/4 <br> 1037/4 1037/16 1041/1 <br> LLC [1] 955/15 <br> LLP [1] 955/18 <br> Lodge [1] 1038/23 <br> LOHRFINK [1] 955/18 <br> long [18] 1016/10 1016/13 1016/15 1016/24 | me [18] 1004/19 1005/12 1007/13 1009/5 1013/25 1014/16 1018/19 1019/16 1020/15 1025/14 1027/10 1028/4 1029/23 1032/24 1032/25 1040/15 1040/19 1040/19 mean [2] 1028/16 1030/12 meaning [1] 1010/9 means [3] 1012/3 1026/13 1028/17 measure [1] 1009/22 MEDICINE [1] 955/6 meet [1] 1033/13 meets [1] 1018/9 metatarsal [24] 1004/10 1012/3 1014/4 1015/3 1015/4 1015/16 1015/20 1016/9 1016/25 1017/12 1017/22 1017/25 1018/11 1018/17 1018/23 1018/24 1019/1 1019/7 1020/10 1022/14 1022/21 1033/18 1033/18 1034/4 | no [22] 955/4 1007/23 1007/23 1009/15 1011/9 1011/10 1012/24 1014/10 1014/15 1014/22 1014/23 1016/4 1016/8 1016/19 1021/11 1029/1 1030/12 1032/17 1033/5 1037/8 1040/19 1041/16 <br> nobody [4] 1006/22 1025/13 1027/4 1035/18 normally [1] 1038/14 not [87] notes [1] 1025/20 nothing [4] 1016/8 1016/20 1027/17 1035/15 noticed [1] 1017/24 <br> November [1] 1025/18 <br> November 12th [1] 1025/18 now [53] <br> number [5] 1035/9 1035/11 1035/11 1035/14 1038/1 <br> NY [2] 955/16 955/20 |
|  |  | 0 |
| 1038/11 1038/20 1038/22 1039/24 <br> longer [1] 1030/12 <br> look [13] 1007/22 1008/5 1008/10 1009/15 1014/11 1020/14 1020/20 1022/12 1022/19 1028/2 1038/2 1038/12 1039/25 <br> looked [4] 1017/25 1040/14 1040/15 1040/18 looking [2] 1009/13 1009/14 <br> loss [8] 1032/19 1038/8 1038/25 1039/2 1039/5 1039/14 1040/2 1040/4 <br> lost [2] 1032/16 1032/18 <br> lot [7] 1014/5 1021/9 1021/12 1033/22 1034/12 1034/21 1038/21 <br> lots [1] 1010/4 <br> lulled [1] 1031/6 <br> Luther [1] 955/10 | 1018/6 1018/19 1020/18 1021/3 1024/1 1024/3 1024/14 1025/6 1034/7 <br> million [4] 1032/6 1032/8 1032/10 1032/12 mind [1] 1007/20 mom [2] 1020/23 1026/14 moment [6] 1020/3 1027/7 1027/10 1033/2 1033/7 1041/2 <br> money [3] 1032/1 1032/15 1032/23 month [1] 1024/7 months [8] 1013/14 1021/1 1023/6 1024/17 1026/17 1032/3 1034/16 1034/16 more [24] 1004/19 1011/14 1012/21 1012/25 1013/1 1013/8 1013/12 1015/24 1019/11 1023/7 1024/7 1025/6 1027/14 1034/1 1034/14 1034/23 1035/6 1035/10 1036/23 | ```object [1] 1017/3 Objection [1] 1006/13 objective [1] 1005/21 obligation [4] 1020/11 1020/12 1020/16 1022/2 observations [1] 1015/18 observes [1] 1016/23 obviously [1] 1013/21 occasion [1] 1030/7 occurred [5] 1019/12 1023/6 1024/23 1031/16 1040/24 off [6] 1018/13 1018/24 1022/25 1026/15 1026/17 1029/8 offer [1] 1022/3 office [4] 955/15 1005/11 1007/21 1007/24``` |
| M |  |  |
| made [3] 1005/13 1006/7 1026/6 <br> MAGRO [1] 955/18 <br> main [1] 1024/21 <br> make [10] 1018/4 1021/7 1021/19 1021/20 <br> 1025/23 1030/19 1035/22 1037/13 1038/3 1039/19 <br> many [8] 1027/8 1030/9 1033/20 1034/10 1034/18 1035/4 1035/5 1035/15 <br> March [8] 1005/11 1008/9 1024/12 1024/12 1031/3 1032/2 1036/22 1039/6 <br> March 22 [1] 1005/11 <br> March 25 [1] 1036/22 <br> March 25th [1] 1032/2 <br> March 8th of [1] 1024/12 <br> March of [1] 1039/6 <br> March surgery [1] 1031/3 <br> MARK [1] 955/21 <br> marked [1] 1008/16 <br> markers [1] 1011/25 <br> married [2] 1039/23 1039/24 <br> Martin [1] 955/10 <br> MARY [1] 955/12 <br> MARZANO [18] 955/6 1004/25 1006/12 1008/7 1008/17 1008/21 1013/18 1014/6 1016/11 1019/22 1020/12 1021/10 1021/25 1022/12 1023/11 1023/24 1024/4 1031/7 <br> Marzano's [7] 1006/11006/10 1006/17 1006/25 1021/15 1021/20 1035/25 <br> materials [1] 1007/16 <br> matter [1] 1011/10 <br> Matthew [1] 1024/7 <br> may [9] 1005/24 1020/14 1020/15 1025/17 1026/8 1029/16 1031/12 1037/25 1037/25 maybe [3] 1029/20 1034/12 1034/12 mayhem [1] 1033/9 | most [2] 1005/11 1028/10 <br> motion [2] 1006/11 1007/2 <br> move [1] 1018/6 <br> Mr. [3] 1004/3 1004/4 1010/23 <br> Mr. and [1] 1004/4 <br> Mr. Gerry [1] 1004/3 <br> Mr. Oginski [1] 1010/23 <br> Mrs. [1] 1004/4 <br> Mrs. Flannery [1] 1004/4 <br> much [26] 1004/9 1008/7 1008/10 1010/1 <br> 1010/4 1010/7 1011/17 1012/2 1012/9 <br> 1012/18 1012/23 1013/4 1023/18 1027/6 <br> 1028/7 1029/21 1031/15 1031/24 1032/15 <br> 1032/23 1033/16 1034/3 1036/4 1037/1 <br> 1039/11040/20 <br> multiple [1] 1023/8 <br> must [2] 1027/11 1036/14 <br> my [23] 1004/22 1005/11 1005/19 1007/14 <br> 1007/19 1007/23 1007/24 1011/5 1011/8 <br> 1012/1 1014/17 1015/6 1016/7 1016/7 <br> 1025/12 1025/14 1025/25 1027/15 1028/3 <br> 1028/5 1028/6 1032/10 1038/1 <br> myself [2] 1012/6 1041/20 <br> N <br> necessarily [2] 1010/18 1010/23 <br> Neck [3] 955/16 955/16 1028/21 <br> need [5] 1008/6 1013/19 1019/14 1023/22 1034/17 <br> negligence [1] 1036/13 <br> never [2] 1022/7 1026/24 <br> NEW [2] 955/1955/10 <br> newspaper [1] 1033/3 <br> next [8] 1013/14 1019/25 1029/23 1029/24 1030/14 1035/10 1036/25 1038/5 | 1030/9 1032/9 1034/10 <br> old [2] 1027/1 1033/1 <br> on [38] 1005/8 1005/10 1007/19 1007/23 1008/9 1008/22 1010/18 1010/24 1011/24 1016/6 1016/21 1018/7 1019/6 1019/25 1024/9 1024/19 1024/22 1025/21 1025/25 1026/25 1027/17 1027/20 1027/22 1027/25 1028/2 1028/6 1028/17 1029/13 1029/24 1032/8 1033/10 1034/22 1037/24 1038/19 1038/21 1041/4 1041/6 1041/19 once [4] 1020/25 1023/3 1023/17 1038/3 one [27] 1004/23 1005/1 1007/8 1008/1 1008/15 1008/25 1014/22 1016/8 1019/19 1020/5 1023/10 1027/14 1029/14 1033/8 1033/10 1034/12 1035/6 1035/9 1035/11 1035/19 1036/1 1036/5 1039/7 1039/8 1040/13 1040/15 1040/18 one-sixth [1] 1008/15 only [13] 1006/23 1008/25 1011/13 1011/18 1012/25 1019/10 1023/9 1036/1 1036/6 1036/7 1036/18 1039/24 1041/12 opening [1] 1025/25 opinion [2] 1011/5 1011/6 opportunities [1] 1004/24 opportunity [2] 1036/2 1036/6 option [2] 1021/8 1022/3 or [22] 1006/21 1011/3 1012/10 1018/15 1021/22 1024/24 1025/6 1026/7 1026/19 1029/4 1029/5 1029/25 1030/1 1030/1 1034/12 1035/10 1035/14 1037/5 1037/15 1038/6 1040/18 1040/20 order [3] 1011/2 1014/1 1023/21 original [3] 1024/23 1026/10 1042/6 orthopedic [3] 1014/13 1016/17 1017/20 orthopedist [2] 1014/12 1015/9 |


second [36] 1012/5 1012/7 1012/12 1013/16 1013/19 1013/20 1013/25 1014/2 1016/9 1016/12 1016/14 1016/24 1017/12 1017/18 1017/22 1017/25 1018/2 1018/8 1018/18 1018/21 1018/25 1019/6 1020/10 1020/18 1021/2 1022/13 1022/21 1022/24 1024/1 1024/3 1024/14 1025/6 1026/5 1033/11 1033/25 1034/6
secret [1] 1027/20
security [1] 1031/6
see [15] 1004/22 1007/24 1009/16 1009/25
1014/11 1016/17 1018/5 1028/10 1028/10
1029/1 1030/15 1035/13 1038/5 1039/22
1040/1
Seeking [1] 1036/16
seen [2] 1021/14 1027/24
sees [1] 1014/19
selection [3] 1011/13 1040/8 1041/9
self [2] 1030/21 1030/22
self-esteem [2] 1030/21 1030/22
Senior [1] 955/23
sense [2] 1031/6 1037/3
sent [1] 1007/16
separate [1] 1004/24
services [7] 1038/8 1038/25 1039/2 1039/5
1039/14 1040/2 1040/4
sexy [1] 1030/20
she [102]
shift [2] 1012/4 1018/25
shifted [2] 1012/12 1034/5
shoe [2] 1029/17 1034/8
shoes [11] 1029/18 1029/19 1029/21 1030/2
1030/4 1030/5 1030/7 1030/11 1030/13
1031/5 1031/10
short [1] 1018/17
shorten [6] 1013/20 1018/17 1021/2 1022/24 1025/6 1035/10
shortened [1] 1017/14
shortening [3] 1008/16 1008/19 1008/22
should [2] 1004/12 1032/24
show [9] 1006/4 1010/3 1011/13 1014/1
1027/23 1034/11 1035/4 1035/5 1040/9
showed [1] 1028/18
showing [3] 1005/12 1005/14 1023/7
shown [2] 1005/9 1013/1
shows [1] 1006/4
side [1] 1028/6
significant [8] 1005/16 1005/18 1008/19
1008/24 1014/21 1029/25 1031/13 1038/1
simple [2] 1011/8 1029/7
simply [2] 1010/6 1036/10
single [1] 1029/11
sit [1] 1041/2
sitting [2] 1007/19 1031/11
sixth [1] 1008/15
slight [1] 1031/22
sloppy [1] 1006/3
SMITH [1] 955/12
sneakers [3] 1029/20 1030/17 1031/19 so [27] 1006/22 1007/3 1007/22 1011/12
1012/20 1013/17 1013/24 1015/16 1015/24 1017/23 1018/7 1018/13 1020/5 1021/5 1023/5 1025/18 1025/23 1026/9 1027/21 1029/7 1030/9 1032/22 1034/6 1036/21
1037/10 1037/24 1039/21
social [1] 1039/16
socially [1] 1039/20
solution [2] 1020/17 1022/21
solve [4] 1004/14 1022/18 1022/20 1034/25
some [7] 1009/9 1010/3 1010/12 1023/6 1023/23 1033/23 1033/23
somebody [5] 1007/21 1014/15 1017/15
1029/8 1036/12
somebody's [2] 1028/11 1029/9
something [11] 1005/7 1007/6 1011/22
1012/1 1016/6 1023/20 1025/7 1027/16 1029/6 1029/10 1030/19
sometimes [4] 1028/6 1029/3 1030/25
1031/19
sorry [1] 1024/2
sounded [1] 1010/13
speaks [1] 1017/9
Special [1] 1024/8
specialist [2] 1015/10 1017/20
specimen [1] 1010/5
spilled [1] 1032/8
spouse [2] 1029/25 1039/25
spouses [1] 1030/9
stake [1] 1017/16
stand [3] 1005/8 1024/24 1026/25
standing [4] 1035/16 1035/20 1035/21
1036/1
STATE [2] 955/1 1031/25
statement [2] 1007/81007/9
statistical [1] 1027/1
statistics [1] 1027/4
stay [2] 1020/23 1026/14
stay-at-home [2] 1020/23 1026/14
stenographic [1] 1042/6
step [1] 1025/12
sticking [2] 1006/8 1006/23
sticks [1] 1005/14
stiff [1] 1024/21
still [13] 1024/12 1025/22 1026/6 1031/11
1033/20 1034/1 1034/11 1034/19 1034/22
1034/23 1035/6 1035/8 1035/16
street [1] 1028/23
strings [1] 1033/5
stuff [3] 1011/25 1027/12 1038/15
stylish [1] 1030/4
substantial [7] 1005/5 1013/5 1013/9 1019/2
1020/11023/14 1040/25
sudden [1] 1007/23
suffered [1] 1035/24
suffering [3] 1032/1 1032/20 1036/21
sufficient [6] 1005/2 1009/4 1010/6 1037/20 1039/4 1040/4
suggest [1] 1035/17
suggesting [1] 1020/7
suggestion [2] 1032/25 1038/1
Suite [1] 955/16
SUPREME [2] 955/1955/13
sure [6] 1021/7 1022/15 1034/13 1041/10
1041/10 1041/12
surgeon [2] 1016/17 1017/20
surgery [42]
surgical [2] 1020/9 1022/9
surreptitious [1] 1027/19
surveillance [2] 1027/11 1027/19

## T

take [11] 1007/22 1008/5 1008/10 1012/18
1018/23 1022/25 1026/15 1028/19 1028/20 1028/21 1029/24
taken [7] 1005/10 1009/4 1011/17 1012/2
1012/9 1029/9 1033/16
takes [2] 1038/15 1038/15
taking [3] 1013/4 1034/3 1041/21
talk [6] 1005/6 1008/6 1014/1 1020/13
1027/10 1031/18
talking [4] 1018/1 1018/10 1019/20 1026/4
team [1] 1032/13
tell [18] 1004/20 1007/5 1009/8 1010/11
1011/5 1020/13 1020/16 1021/7 1022/2

1022/19 1023/20 1023/21 1027/24 1028/1
1028/12 1029/14 1030/24 1031/17
telling [4] 1015/20 1017/17 1020/7 1021/23
tells [4] 1008/13 1014/19 1015/22 1034/17
testified [2] 1007/7 1008/1
testimony [8] 1005/21 1005/24 1006/18
1007/10 1008/3 1011/7 1012/23 1014/18
than [17] 1004/20 1007/11 1011/14 1012/21
1012/25 1013/1 1015/5 1015/24 1019/11 1023/8 1024/7 1027/14 1036/23 1040/10 1040/18 1040/18 1041/14
thank [4] 1004/6 1041/20 1041/24 1041/24
thankfully [1] 1028/9
that [290]
that's [21] 1005/21 1009/16 1012/8 1012/13 1012/19 1017/3 1018/6 1018/9 1019/7 1019/8 1023/3 1026/20 1029/20 1032/2 1032/19 1035/20 1036/7 1036/14 1036/15 1036/19 1038/8
their [10] 1007/14 1008/3 1015/7 1016/13 1026/25 1028/15 1030/6 1030/10 1039/16 1040/1
them [6] 1025/9 1030/11 1030/19 1030/20 1034/19 1035/6
themselves [2] 1018/11 1030/20
then [10] 1007/19 1009/14 1013/3 1013/21 1015/15 1019/24 1022/19 1032/9 1036/25 1039/11
there [39] 1004/20 1005/3 1008/18 1009/4 1009/14 1010/3 1011/3 1011/9 1011/15 1012/21 1012/24 1014/1 1014/23 1015/25 1016/3 1016/4 1016/8 1016/19 1018/15 1019/18 1020/8 1021/9 1021/12 1022/17 1023/8 1027/17 1027/25 1028/25 1030/6 1032/17 1033/12 1033/23 1033/25 1034/14 1034/23 1035/6 1035/19 1039/8 1040/11 these [10] 1005/9 1005/12 1006/6 1011/8 1019/19 1021/19 1022/8 1026/9 1037/16 1040/25
they [17] 1006/2 1006/2 1018/13 1027/14 1027/23 1028/8 1030/6 1030/17 1030/17 1030/18 1033/7 1034/20 1038/19 1038/21 1039/18 1039/23 1039/25
thing [6] 1008/2 1017/24 1026/20 1029/14 1036/3 1036/18
things [4] 1014/5 1023/23 1038/10 1038/13
think [12] 1009/20 1017/19 1018/14 1022/20 1033/6 1033/20 1033/20 1034/11 1034/18 1035/4 1035/5 1035/16
thinking [1] 1040/1
thinks [1] 1014/18
third [28] 1012/5 1012/12 1013/16 1013/20 1016/10 1016/12 1016/14 1016/24 1017/12 1017/18 1017/22 1017/25 1018/2 1018/8 1018/18 1018/19 1019/1 1019/6 1019/16 1020/4 1020/18 1021/2 1022/24 1024/1 1024/3 1024/14 1025/6 1034/7 this [89]
those [6] 1013/20 1023/10 1030/13 1036/20 1039/4 1040/11
though [1] 1037/24
thought [1] 1012/6
three [4] 1004/23 1026/17 1032/3 1035/14
through [5] 1021/11025/16 1036/13 1036/13
1037/12
throughout [2] 1021/5 1026/3
time [19] 1004/2 1014/23 1016/20 1022/25 1024/4 1025/4 1026/4 1026/5 1029/12 1029/23 1029/24 1030/14 1032/1 1034/7 1036/17 1038/22 1039/5 1039/6 1041/21 today [4] 1026/5 1032/2 1036/22 1039/13 toe [7] 1005/14 1006/8 1006/11 1006/23 1018/8 1018/9 1024/21


